



UAA Center for Behavioral
Health Research & Services
UNIVERSITY *of* ALASKA ANCHORAGE

Alaska Judicial Council

Judicial Retention Survey: Alaska Bar Association Members

Technical Report

Maia Wen, B.A., Research Professional
Katelyn Saft, Undergraduate Research Assistant
Stacy Tanner, B.A., Graduate Research Assistant
Bridget Hanson, Ph.D., Research Associate Professor

April 19, 2018

Funded by Alaska Judicial Council

Table of Contents

Executive Summary.....	1
Table 1: Mean Ratings of Judges	2
Introduction.....	3
Methodology	3
Table 2: Respondent Characteristics	4
Instrumentation.....	5
Confidentiality and Data Safety	5
Assurance of Non-Duplicate Responding.....	6
Data Management.....	6
Results.....	7
<i>Summary Tables</i>	
Table 3: Level of Experience with Judges	8
Table 4: Summary of Overall Ratings	9
Table 5: Distribution of Responses for Overall Rating	10
Table 6: Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Practice	12
Table 7: Mean Overall Ratings by Length of Alaska Practice.....	14
Table 8: Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Caseload Handled.....	15
Table 9: Mean Overall Ratings by Location of Practice.....	16
Table 10: Mean Overall Ratings by Gender	17
<i>Individual Tables</i>	
Table 11: William B. Carey: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	18
Table 12: William B. Carey: Detailed Responses	19
Table 13: Kevin G. Miller: Demographic Description of Respondents	20
Table 14: Kevin G. Miller: Detailed Responses.....	21
Table 15: Kirsten Swanson: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	22
Table 16: Kirsten Swanson: Detailed Responses	23
Table 17: Angela M. Greene: Demographic Description of Respondents	24
Table 18: Angela M. Greene: Detailed Responses	25
Table 19: Steve W. Cole: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	26
Table 20: Steve W. Cole: Detailed Responses	27
Table 21: Michael D. Corey: Demographic Description of Respondents	28
Table 22: Michael D. Corey: Detailed Responses.....	29
Table 23: William F. Morse: Demographic Description of Respondents	30
Table 24: William F. Morse: Detailed Responses	31
Table 25: Herman G. Walker, Jr.: Demographic Description of Respondents	32
Table 26: Herman G. Walker, Jr.: Detailed Responses	33
Table 27: Michael L. Wolverton: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	34
Table 28: Michael L. Wolverton: Detailed Responses	35
Table 29: Jo-Ann Chung: Demographic Description of Respondents	36
Table 30: Jo-Ann Chung: Detailed Responses.....	37
Table 31: Brian K. Clark: Demographic Description of Respondents	38
Table 32: Brian K. Clark: Detailed Responses	39
Table 33: William L. Estelle: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	40
Table 34: William L. Estelle: Detailed Responses	41
Table 35: Sharon A. S. Illsley: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	42
Table 36: Sharon A. S. Illsley: Detailed Responses	43
Table 37: John W. Wolfe: Demographic Description of Respondents	44
Table 38: John W. Wolfe: Detailed Responses	45
Table 39: Paul R. Lyle: Demographic Description of Respondents	46

Table 40: Paul R. Lyle: Detailed Responses 47
Table 41: Michael P. McConahy: Demographic Description of Respondents 48
Table 42: Michael P. McConahy: Detailed Responses..... 49
Table 43: Benjamin A. Seekins: Demographic Description of Respondents 50
Table 44: Benjamin A. Seekins: Detailed Responses..... 51

Executive Summary

Alaska statutes require the Alaska Judicial Council to evaluate Alaska judges eligible to stand for retention election. This survey was conducted among Alaska Bar Association members to obtain information about their direct professional and other relevant experience with the judges, and their assessments of judicial performance. This 2018 survey included 17 trial court judges eligible for retention.

The Alaska Judicial Council asked bar members to evaluate the judges on six characteristics: *Legal Ability*, *Impartiality/Fairness*, *Integrity*, *Judicial Temperament*, *Diligence*, and *Overall*. The rating scale ranged from *Poor* (1) to *Excellent* (5).

Table 1 shows the mean ratings for each judge by respondents with direct professional experience on all six characteristics. Within each district, superior court judges appear first and are followed by district court judges.

Table 1
Mean Ratings of Judges

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
<u>First Judicial District</u>							
<i>Superior Court</i>							
William B. Carey	99	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.4
<i>District Court</i>							
Kevin G. Miller	59	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7
Kirsten Swanson	38	4.4	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.7
<u>Second Judicial District</u>							
<i>Superior Court</i>							
Angela M. Greene	62	2.9	3.2	3.2	3.0	2.5	2.6
<u>Third Judicial District</u>							
<i>Superior Court</i>							
Steve W. Cole	87	3.8	4.1	4.4	4.1	3.7	3.9
Michael D. Corey	124	3.9	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.1	4.0
William F. Morse	287	4.2	3.9	4.2	3.5	4.1	4.0
Herman G. Walker, Jr.	146	3.8	4.2	4.4	4.3	3.9	4.0
Michael L. Wolverton	158	4.2	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.1	4.3
<i>District Court</i>							
Jo-Ann Chung	137	4.1	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.1
Brian K. Clark	139	4.4	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.4	4.6
William L. Estelle	86	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.7	3.2	3.3
Sharon A. S. Illsley	48	3.8	3.8	4.2	3.9	4.0	3.7
John W. Wolfe	85	3.6	3.6	4.1	3.7	3.9	3.7
<u>Fourth Judicial District</u>							
<i>Superior Court</i>							
Paul R. Lyle	104	4.5	4.3	4.6	4.0	4.5	4.4
Michael P. McConahy	104	4.1	3.9	4.3	4.0	4.1	4.1
<i>District Court</i>							
Benjamin A. Seekins	81	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.1

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

2018 Judicial Retention Survey: Alaska Bar Association Members

Introduction

Alaska statutes require that the Alaska Judicial Council (Council) evaluate judges standing for retention in an election year. The Council makes a recommendation to the State's voters to either retain or not retain each judge. As part of the information used to fulfill its mandate, the Council distributed surveys to Alaska Bar Association members and asked them to rate judges on six characteristics: *Legal Ability*, *Impartiality/Fairness*, *Integrity*, *Judicial Temperament*, *Diligence*, and *Overall*. Each survey also contained demographic questions about the respondents, including type of practice, length of Alaska practice, types of cases handled, primary location of practice, and gender.

To maintain objectivity, the Council contracted with the Center for Behavioral Health Research and Services (CBHRS), a research workgroup at the University of Alaska Anchorage. CBHRS was responsible for all aspects of distribution and data collection for the online version of the survey as well as data analysis. Parallel paper surveys were printed and mailed by the Council but returned directly to CBHRS for processing, data entry, and analysis. CBHRS prepared this report summarizing survey procedures and results.

The 2018 retention survey for Alaska Bar Association members included 17 trial court judges eligible for retention.

Methodology

All active in-state members of the Alaska Bar Association were invited to participate in this survey. Inactive and retired members and active out-of-state members were also invited to participate in the survey if the Council had email addresses for them. Of the 3,232 individuals invited to participate, the vast majority of individuals (3,157) received only an email invitation to complete the survey online. Thirty-three individuals received only a paper version of the survey and 42 individuals received both the paper and online versions of the survey.

Respondents initiated 787 online surveys. No surveys were excluded because the respondent answered "No" to the question certifying that they had complied with the ethical standards set out in Professional Rule 8.2. Four surveys were excluded because the respondents did not progress far enough in the survey to reach the certification question. No online surveys were returned by individuals who also completed a paper survey. Therefore, 783 online surveys qualified for analysis.

Respondents also returned 18 paper surveys. Two paper surveys were excluded because they were unsigned. One paper survey was excluded because the respondent did not answer the question certifying that they complied with the ethical standards set out in Professional Rule 8.2. No paper surveys were returned by individuals who also completed the online survey. Therefore, 15 paper surveys qualified for analysis.

The final analysis included 783 online surveys and 15 paper surveys, for a total of 798 surveys and a survey return rate of 24.7%. Of the 798 returned surveys, 223 respondents did not rate any of the 17 judges; 575 (72.1%) of those invited to complete the survey evaluated one or more judges. Table 2 summarizes the demographic characteristics of the respondents.

Table 2
Respondent Characteristics

		All Respondents		Respondents who Rated ≥ 1 Judge	
		<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%
All respondents		798	100.0	575	100.0
Type of Practice					
	No response	10	1.3	6	1.0
	Private, solo	165	20.7	129	22.4
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	123	15.4	99	17.2
	Private, 6+ attorneys	86	10.8	58	10.1
	Private, corporate employee	16	2.0	10	1.7
	Judge or judicial officer	81	10.2	71	12.3
	Government	240	30.1	170	29.6
	Public service agency or organization	29	3.6	15	2.6
	Retired	41	5.1	15	2.6
	Other	7	0.9	2	0.3
Length of Alaska Practice					
	No response	43	5.4	34	5.9
	5 years or fewer	100	12.5	67	11.7
	6 to 10 years	106	13.3	81	14.1
	11 to 15 years	96	12.0	76	13.2
	16 to 20 years	81	10.2	58	10.1
	More than 20 years	372	46.6	259	45.0
Cases Handled					
	No response	10	1.3	5	0.9
	Prosecution	61	7.6	49	8.5
	Criminal	68	8.5	55	9.6
	Mixed criminal & civil	178	22.3	148	25.7
	Civil	460	57.6	309	53.7
	Other	21	2.6	9	1.6
Location of Practice					
	No response	11	1.4	6	1.0
	First District	106	13.3	70	12.2
	Second District	12	1.5	9	1.6
	Third District	546	68.4	412	71.7
	Fourth District	91	11.4	66	11.5
	Outside Alaska	32	4.0	12	2.1
Gender					
	No response	19	2.4	14	2.4
	Male	464	58.1	342	59.5
	Female	315	39.5	219	38.1

Instrumentation

The survey contained the names of the judges eligible for retention, questions about demographic information for each respondent, six evaluation items for each judge, and space for respondents to provide additional comments regarding each judge. Both versions of the survey required a certification by the respondent that he/she had rated the judges as required by the bar’s Professional Rule 8.2. Specific instructions regarding the certification were provided:

“Please refer to Professional Conduct Rule 8.2 concerning your obligation to provide truthful and candid opinions on the qualifications or integrity of these judges.”

Respondents evaluated judges in six areas of performance. Detailed instructions for each domain were provided:

- Legal Ability:* Please evaluate the judge’s legal and factual analysis including the judge’s knowledge of substantive law, evidence, and procedure, and the judge’s writing clarity and precision.
- Impartiality/Fairness:* Please evaluate the judge’s sense of basic fairness and justice and whether the judge treats all parties equally.
- Integrity:* Please evaluate whether the judge’s conduct is free from impropriety or appearance of impropriety and whether the judge makes decisions without regard to possible public criticism.
- Judicial Temperament:* Please evaluate the judge’s courtesy and freedom from arrogance and whether the judge manifests human understanding and compassion.
- Diligence:* Please evaluate whether the judge is prepared for court proceedings, works diligently, and is reasonably prompt in making decisions.
- Overall Evaluation:* Please provide your overall assessment of the judge’s performance.

Respondents assigned ratings for each domain using a five-point Likert scale that ranged from *Poor* (1) to *Excellent* (5). Detailed descriptions of the meaning of each point on the Likert scale were provided:

(1) <i>Poor</i>	(2) <i>Deficient</i>	(3) <i>Acceptable</i>	(4) <i>Good</i>	(5) <i>Excellent</i>
Seldom meets minimum standards of performance for this court	Does not always meet minimum standards of performance for this court	Meets minimum standards of performance for this court	Often exceeds minimum standards of performance for this court	Consistently exceeds minimum standards of performance for this court

Confidentiality and Data Safety

The survey introduction included a statement that reassured respondents of the confidentiality of their responses. Confidentiality is also a paramount concern at CBHRS and translated into specific procedures related to data security. Because data such as those collected through the judicial retention survey are of a sensitive nature, CBHRS has rigorous procedures to protect data. Specifically, paper surveys are kept in a lockable file cabinet located in a secure data storage room. Data are kept locked at all times except when being used for data entry or related purposes. Organizational policies and procedures highlight the requirement for confidentiality and ensure that only staff involved with the project have access to the data. Online data and data that have been entered from paper surveys are maintained on a secure server.

Assurance of Non-Duplicate Responding

To ensure that as few duplicates or invalid surveys as possible were received, clear instructions were provided to potential paper survey respondents regarding how to handle the survey booklets:

“A postage-paid business reply envelope is enclosed for the return of your completed evaluations. Place the completed survey inside the envelope marked “Confidential,” and seal the envelope. Then use the business reply envelope, being sure to sign in the space provided. The return envelope MUST BE SIGNED in order for your survey to be counted.”

Based on these instructions, CBHRS implemented procedures to ensure that only one survey was counted for each respondent. For the surveys returned without a name on the outside envelope, the envelope was opened to ascertain whether the individual signed the comment section. Two paper surveys were returned that had no signed name on the outside of the envelope and no signed comments. Those surveys were excluded from data entry and analysis and were not reflected in the total number of valid surveys received. Signed names on the envelopes were compared to the mailing list, ensuring the individual was an eligible participant. Each individual’s unique identifier was entered with the data, providing the ability to check for duplication with the completed online surveys. No surveys were signed by individuals who were not on the mailing list.

For the online data collection, each potential respondent was provided with a unique URL that could only be used once, and only accessed from the e-mail address to which it was sent. After merging online and entered paper data, CBHRS analyzed frequencies of the unique identifier variable to identify any duplicate responses. No duplicate surveys were identified. Had any duplicates been identified, the most complete survey data would have been retained and the duplicate removed, ensuring that only one survey per respondent was used in the data analysis.

Data Management

With the goal of virtually error-free data handling, CBHRS implemented rigorous data entry procedures to ensure the accuracy of data entry. Paper data was entered using an electronic system similar to the online survey that prevents out-of-range responses. Before entry, all paper data was reviewed and cleaned prior to data entry. Then, a second staff member confirmed all data cleaning and entered the surveys. After the paper surveys were entered, the first staff member verified all entries and corrected any mistakes. Online data were downloaded from the survey website and imported into SPSS for analysis. The paper survey responses were merged with the online responses in SPSS to create one data file of all responses.

Results

Two sets of results are presented in this section of the report. First, respondents' level of experience with each judge is shown. Then, a summary table presents the ratings and comparisons of the judges. Many of the cross tabulations yield results based on small numbers of respondents. Results based on small numbers of respondents should be regarded with caution and more weight given to the overall results.

In the tables, judges appear in order based on district. Within each district, superior court judges appear first and are followed by district court judges.

Respondents' Level of Experience with Each Judge

All respondents were asked to describe the basis of their evaluation for each judge they rated, with options of direct professional experience, professional reputation, and other personal contacts.

Table 3 shows the type of experience of respondents for each judge.

Ratings of Judges

In the tables that follow, responses to the rating questions are shown in a variety of ways. Most tables show the number of respondents (*n*) and the average rating (*M*). Tables 4-10 present detail on the *Overall* item. Table 4 compares all judge ratings to those from respondents with direct professional experience and includes the median rating (*Mdn*) and the standard deviation (*SD*) in addition to number of respondents and average. Tables 5-10 present data only from those respondents who indicated direct professional experience. Table 5 provides the distribution of responses on the *Overall* item. Table 6 provides judges' mean scores broken down by respondents' type of practice. Table 7 provides judges' mean scores broken down by respondents' length of Alaska practice. Table 8 provides judges' mean scores broken down by respondents' type of caseload handled. Table 9 provides judges' mean scores broken down by location of practice. Table 10 provides judges' mean scores broken down by respondents' gender.

For each individual judge, Tables 11-44 provide a demographics summary of respondents and detailed information on ratings provided by respondent characteristic.

Table 3
Respondents' Level of Experience with the Judges

	<i>n</i>	% of all respondents who rated judge	Percent of Respondents Basing Ratings on...		
			Direct Professional Experience	Professional Reputation	Other Personal Contacts
<u>First Judicial District</u>					
<i>Superior Court</i>					
William B. Carey	120	15.0	82.5	10.0	7.5
<i>District Court</i>					
Kevin G. Miller	67	8.4	88.1	11.9	-
Kirsten Swanson	53	6.6	71.7	18.9	9.4
<u>Second Judicial District</u>					
<i>Superior Court</i>					
Angela M. Greene	77	9.6	80.5	16.9	2.6
<u>Third Judicial District</u>					
<i>Superior Court</i>					
Steve W. Cole	99	12.4	87.9	10.1	2.0
Michael D. Corey	144	18.0	86.1	11.1	2.8
William F. Morse	315	39.5	91.1	6.0	2.9
Herman G. Walker, Jr.	182	22.8	80.2	13.7	6.0
Michael L. Wolverton	197	24.7	80.2	15.2	4.6
<i>District Court</i>					
Jo-Ann Chung	161	20.2	85.1	7.5	7.5
Brian K. Clark	163	20.4	85.3	9.2	5.5
William L. Estelle	109	13.7	78.9	14.7	6.4
Sharon A. S. Illsley	62	7.8	77.4	17.7	4.8
John W. Wolfe	96	12.0	88.5	7.3	4.2
<u>Fourth Judicial District</u>					
<i>Superior Court</i>					
Paul R. Lyle	112	14.0	92.9	3.6	3.6
Michael P. McConahy	118	14.8	88.1	8.5	3.4
<i>District Court</i>					
Benjamin A. Seekins	87	10.9	93.1	3.4	3.4

Table 4
Summary of Overall Ratings

	All Respondents				Respondents with Direct Professional Experience			
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>Mdn</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>Mdn</i>	<i>SD</i>
<u>First Judicial District</u>								
<i>Superior Court</i>								
William B. Carey	115	4.4	5.0	0.8	96	4.4	5.0	0.9
<i>District Court</i>								
Kevin G. Miller	67	4.7	5.0	0.6	59	4.7	5.0	0.5
Kirsten Swanson	51	4.6	5.0	0.7	36	4.7	5.0	0.6
<u>Second Judicial District</u>								
<i>Superior Court</i>								
Angela M. Greene	72	2.6	2.0	1.2	60	2.6	2.0	1.2
<u>Third Judicial District</u>								
<i>Superior Court</i>								
Steve W. Cole	99	3.9	4.0	0.9	87	3.9	4.0	0.9
Michael D. Corey	143	4.0	4.0	1.0	124	4.0	4.0	1.0
William F. Morse	309	4.0	4.0	1.1	281	4.0	4.0	1.1
Herman G. Walker, Jr.	181	4.1	4.0	1.0	145	4.0	4.0	1.0
Michael L. Wolverton	196	4.3	5.0	0.8	157	4.3	5.0	0.9
<i>District Court</i>								
Jo-Ann Chung	159	4.2	4.0	0.9	135	4.1	4.0	1.0
Brian K. Clark	161	4.6	5.0	0.6	138	4.6	5.0	0.7
William L. Estelle	107	3.4	3.0	1.1	86	3.3	3.0	1.2
Sharon A. S. Illsley	61	3.7	4.0	1.1	47	3.7	4.0	1.1
John W. Wolfe	95	3.7	4.0	1.1	85	3.7	4.0	1.2
<u>Fourth Judicial District</u>								
<i>Superior Court</i>								
Paul R. Lyle	110	4.4	5.0	0.9	103	4.4	5.0	0.9
Michael P. McConahy	106	4.0	4.0	1.0	93	4.1	4.0	1.0
<i>District Court</i>								
Benjamin A. Seekins	87	4.1	4.0	0.9	81	4.1	4.0	0.9

Table 5
Distribution of Responses for Overall Rating

	<i>n</i>	Poor		Deficient		Acceptable		Good		Excellent	
		<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%
<u>First Judicial District</u>											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
William B. Carey	96	1	1.0	3	3.1	10	10.4	26	27.1	56	58.3
<i>District Court</i>											
Kevin G. Miller	59	-	-	-	-	2	3.4	12	20.3	45	76.3
Kirsten Swanson	36	-	-	1	2.8	-	-	9	25.0	26	72.2
<u>Second Judicial District</u>											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
Angela M. Greene	60	12	20.0	20	33.3	14	23.3	9	15.0	5	8.3
<u>Third Judicial District</u>											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
Steve W. Cole	87	-	-	9	10.3	14	16.1	41	47.1	23	26.4
Michael D. Corey	124	1	0.8	14	11.3	18	14.5	45	36.3	46	37.1
William F. Morse	281	7	2.5	27	9.6	53	18.9	76	27.0	118	42.0
Herman G. Walker, Jr.	145	2	1.4	10	6.9	29	20.0	46	31.7	58	40.0
Michael L. Wolverton	157	2	1.3	5	3.2	12	7.6	58	36.9	80	51.0
<i>District Court</i>											
Jo-Ann Chung	135	1	0.7	11	8.1	16	11.9	46	34.1	61	45.2
Brian K. Clark	138	1	0.7	-	-	7	5.1	40	29.0	90	65.2
William L. Estelle	86	4	4.7	18	20.9	28	32.6	18	20.9	18	20.9
Sharon A. S. Illsley	47	3	6.4	3	6.4	9	19.1	20	42.6	12	25.5
John W. Wolfe	85	4	4.7	12	14.1	15	17.6	31	36.5	23	27.1

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

*Table 5 (cont.)
Distribution of Responses for Overall Rating*

	<i>n</i>	Poor		Deficient		Acceptable		Good		Excellent	
		<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%
<u>Fourth Judicial District</u>											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
Paul R. Lyle	103	2	1.9	2	1.9	8	7.8	33	32.0	58	56.3
Michael P. McConahy	93	1	1.1	7	7.5	16	17.2	31	33.3	38	40.9
<i>District Court</i>											
Benjamin A. Seekins	81	-	-	4	4.9	17	21.0	25	30.9	35	43.2

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 6
Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Practice

	Private, solo		Private, 2-5 attorneys		Private, 6+ attorneys		Private, corporate employee		Judge or judicial officer		Government		Public service agency/org		Retired		Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
<u>First Judicial District</u>																	
<i>Superior Court</i>																	
William B. Carey	24	4.3	19	3.9	6	4.2	-	-	14	4.8	27	4.6	-	-	4	4.5	4.4
<i>District Court</i>																	
Kevin G. Miller	13	4.5	12	4.7	1	5.0	-	-	16	4.8	15	4.9	1	5.0	1	3.0	4.7
Kirsten Swanson	11	4.7	2	3.0	-	-	-	-	9	4.9	11	4.7	1	5.0	2	4.5	4.7
<u>Second Judicial District</u>																	
<i>Superior Court</i>																	
Angela M. Greene	10	3.6	8	3.1	7	2.3	-	-	7	1.9	26	2.4	1	2.0	-	-	2.6
<u>Third Judicial District</u>																	
<i>Superior Court</i>																	
Steve W. Cole	17	4.2	15	3.9	7	3.4	1	4.0	16	3.8	27	3.8	-	-	3	4.3	3.9
Michael D. Corey	24	4.3	19	3.8	12	4.4	2	4.0	18	4.4	41	3.5	2	3.5	3	5.0	4.0
William F. Morse	60	3.9	58	3.8	32	4.2	5	4.0	40	4.5	72	3.8	3	4.0	8	4.0	4.0
Herman G. Walker, Jr.	34	3.9	35	3.9	15	4.1	1	4.0	25	4.5	28	3.7	3	5.0	2	4.0	4.0
Michael L. Wolverton	35	4.5	19	4.3	9	4.3	4	4.0	28	4.6	52	4.2	3	4.7	6	4.2	4.3

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 6 (cont.)
Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Practice

	Private, solo		Private, 2-5 attorneys		Private, 6+ attorneys		Private, corporate employee		Judge or judicial officer		Government		Public service agency/org		Retired		Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
<u>Third Judicial District</u>																	
<i>District Court</i>																	
Jo-Ann Chung	28	4.1	24	3.9	13	4.2	4	4.3	23	4.5	37	4.1	3	4.7	1	5.0	4.1
Brian K. Clark	27	4.6	24	4.6	12	4.5	3	4.3	25	4.8	40	4.4	2	5.0	3	5.0	4.6
William L. Estelle	25	3.7	10	3.3	4	3.3	1	5.0	20	3.2	21	3.0	2	3.0	1	5.0	3.3
Sharon A. S. Illsley	9	4.1	4	4.3	2	4.0	1	4.0	11	3.4	17	3.7	-	-	2	4.5	3.7
John W. Wolfe	21	3.8	7	3.7	4	3.8	1	4.0	21	4.0	25	3.3	2	3.0	3	4.0	3.7
<u>Fourth Judicial District</u>																	
<i>Superior Court</i>																	
Paul R. Lyle	11	4.5	14	4.5	6	3.8	1	3.0	25	4.8	34	4.3	5	3.4	5	4.4	4.4
Michael P. McConahy	10	3.9	20	3.9	7	4.3	-	-	24	4.6	22	3.5	4	3.8	3	4.7	4.1
<i>District Court</i>																	
Benjamin A. Seekins	11	4.4	16	4.6	3	4.7	-	-	22	4.0	22	3.5	2	5.0	3	4.3	4.1

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 7
Mean Overall Ratings by Length of Alaska Practice

	5 years or fewer		6 to 10 years		11 to 15 years		16 to 20 years		More than 20 years		Overall M
	n	M	n	M	n	M	n	M	n	M	
<u>First Judicial District</u>											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
William B. Carey	6	4.3	14	4.2	9	4.7	7	4.1	53	4.4	4.4
<i>District Court</i>											
Kevin G. Miller	5	4.8	8	4.8	6	4.7	5	4.8	30	4.7	4.7
Kirsten Swanson	1	5.0	8	4.5	2	5.0	6	4.7	17	4.6	4.7
<u>Second Judicial District</u>											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
Angela M. Greene	7	2.4	9	2.7	10	2.1	3	3.0	25	2.8	2.6
<u>Third Judicial District</u>											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
Steve W. Cole	7	3.7	10	4.1	9	4.2	10	3.5	42	3.9	3.9
Michael D. Corey	14	3.6	17	3.4	12	4.1	10	4.3	61	4.2	4.0
William F. Morse	25	3.7	28	4.4	35	4.2	26	3.7	147	4.0	4.0
Herman G. Walker, Jr.	15	4.4	9	3.7	13	4.2	14	3.9	86	4.0	4.0
Michael L. Wolverton	12	3.8	21	4.3	17	4.4	12	4.3	83	4.4	4.3
<i>District Court</i>											
Jo-Ann Chung	17	3.9	23	4.2	17	4.3	12	4.0	53	4.1	4.1
Brian K. Clark	12	4.3	20	4.7	20	4.8	13	4.7	61	4.5	4.6
William L. Estelle	8	2.5	10	3.1	11	3.6	6	3.0	42	3.4	3.3
Sharon A. S. Illsley	4	4.3	6	3.8	4	4.0	7	4.1	22	3.7	3.7
John W. Wolfe	6	2.7	10	3.1	11	3.6	8	3.0	42	4.1	3.7
<u>Fourth Judicial District</u>											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
Paul R. Lyle	10	4.1	19	4.3	13	4.3	9	4.2	43	4.5	4.4
Michael P. McConahy	6	3.7	12	4.2	11	3.5	5	4.0	50	4.2	4.1
<i>District Court</i>											
Benjamin A. Seekins	9	4.4	13	4.3	9	3.6	7	4.3	35	4.1	4.1

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 8
Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Caseload Handled

	Prosecution		Criminal		Mixed criminal/civil		Civil		Other		Overall M
	n	M	n	M	n	M	n	M	n	M	
<u>First Judicial District</u>											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
William B. Carey	8	4.6	7	4.9	31	4.5	47	4.2	2	5.0	4.4
<i>District Court</i>											
Kevin G. Miller	3	4.7	6	4.8	28	4.8	21	4.6	1	5.0	4.7
Kirsten Swanson	3	4.7	3	4.7	18	4.6	10	4.7	2	5.0	4.7
<u>Second Judicial District</u>											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
Angela M. Greene	13	2.2	3	2.3	19	2.5	23	3.0	1	2.0	2.6
<u>Third Judicial District</u>											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
Steve W. Cole	12	3.8	11	3.8	24	4.0	38	3.9	1	4.0	3.9
Michael D. Corey	17	3.2	18	3.9	30	4.3	55	4.0	3	4.3	4.0
William F. Morse	18	3.2	23	4.4	72	4.1	163	3.9	4	4.3	4.0
Herman G. Walker, Jr.	4	3.5	4	4.8	40	4.0	94	4.0	2	4.5	4.0
Michael L. Wolverton	20	3.6	32	4.5	48	4.6	54	4.2	2	4.5	4.3
<i>District Court</i>											
Jo-Ann Chung	12	4.4	22	3.9	40	4.1	56	4.2	3	4.7	4.1
Brian K. Clark	16	4.3	22	4.7	41	4.6	56	4.6	2	4.5	4.6
William L. Estelle	8	3.0	12	2.9	35	3.6	29	3.3	1	1.0	3.3
Sharon A. S. Illsley	6	4.0	8	3.0	17	3.7	14	4.3	1	4.0	3.7
John W. Wolfe	11	3.1	13	3.0	38	3.8	21	4.3	1	4.0	3.7
<u>Fourth Judicial District</u>											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
Paul R. Lyle	10	4.6	11	4.2	35	4.8	43	4.1	1	4.0	4.4
Michael P. McConahy	10	3.7	8	4.1	37	4.2	31	3.9	4	4.0	4.1
<i>District Court</i>											
Benjamin A. Seekins	8	3.1	10	3.8	32	4.3	27	4.3	1	4.0	4.1

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 9
Mean Overall Ratings by Location of Practice

	First District		Second District		Third District		Fourth District		Outside Alaska		Overall M
	n	M	n	M	n	M	n	M	n	M	
<u>First Judicial District</u>											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
William B. Carey	41	4.4	1	4.0	49	4.3	3	4.3	1	5.0	4.4
<i>District Court</i>											
Kevin G. Miller	37	4.8	2	5.0	15	4.5	5	4.8	-	-	4.7
Kirsten Swanson	25	4.6	-	-	9	4.8	2	4.5	-	-	4.7
<u>Second Judicial District</u>											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
Angela M. Greene	3	3.3	5	2.6	30	2.6	18	2.2	3	4.3	2.6
<u>Third Judicial District</u>											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
Steve W. Cole	-	-	-	-	79	3.9	5	4.0	1	2.0	3.9
Michael D. Corey	3	3.7	-	-	116	3.9	2	5.0	1	5.0	4.0
William F. Morse	8	4.5	1	3.0	262	3.9	6	4.0	2	5.0	4.0
Herman G. Walker, Jr.	4	4.0	-	-	136	4.0	2	5.0	2	3.0	4.0
Michael L. Wolverton	3	4.3	-	-	142	4.3	6	4.8	3	5.0	4.3
<i>District Court</i>											
Jo-Ann Chung	-	-	-	-	128	4.1	3	5.0	1	5.0	4.1
Brian K. Clark	1	5.0	-	-	128	4.6	5	4.4	2	5.0	4.6
William L. Estelle	-	-	1	2.0	80	3.3	4	4.5	-	-	3.3
Sharon A. S. Illsley	2	4.5	-	-	39	3.8	3	4.3	1	3.0	3.7
John W. Wolfe	-	-	1	4.0	79	3.6	4	4.8	-	-	3.7
<u>Fourth Judicial District</u>											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
Paul R. Lyle	3	4.3	2	4.0	41	4.1	54	4.6	1	5.0	4.4
Michael P. McConahy	3	4.3	2	4.0	40	4.2	44	3.9	2	3.5	4.1
<i>District Court</i>											
Benjamin A. Seekins	-	-	2	3.5	28	4.4	47	4.0	2	5.0	4.1

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 10
Mean Overall Ratings by Gender

	Male		Female		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
<u>First Judicial District</u>					
<i>Superior Court</i>					
William B. Carey	60	4.5	31	4.3	4.4
<i>District Court</i>					
Kevin G. Miller	39	4.7	19	4.7	4.7
Kirsten Swanson	21	4.7	15	4.7	4.7
<u>Second Judicial District</u>					
<i>Superior Court</i>					
Angela M. Greene	32	2.9	27	2.2	2.6
<u>Third Judicial District</u>					
<i>Superior Court</i>					
Steve W. Cole	57	3.8	28	4.0	3.9
Michael D. Corey	85	4.1	36	3.6	4.0
William F. Morse	166	4.0	107	3.9	4.0
Herman G. Walker, Jr.	77	4.0	66	4.0	4.0
Michael L. Wolverton	95	4.3	56	4.4	4.3
<i>District Court</i>					
Jo-Ann Chung	88	4.0	42	4.4	4.1
Brian K. Clark	89	4.6	46	4.5	4.6
William L. Estelle	61	3.6	22	2.5	3.3
Sharon A. S. Illsley	30	3.9	15	3.6	3.7
John W. Wolfe	52	3.8	28	3.5	3.7
<u>Fourth Judicial District</u>					
<i>Superior Court</i>					
Paul R. Lyle	57	4.4	42	4.3	4.4
Michael P. McConahy	58	4.2	31	3.7	4.1
<i>District Court</i>					
Benjamin A. Seekins	47	4.2	30	4.0	4.1

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 11
William B. Carey
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	120	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	99	82.5
Professional reputation	12	10.0
Other personal contacts	9	7.5
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	82	82.8
Substantial amount of experience	33	33.3
Moderate amount of experience	36	36.4
Limited amount of experience	30	30.3
Type of Practice		
No response	1	0.8
Private, solo	28	23.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	24	20.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	6	5.0
Private, corporate employee	1	0.8
Judge or judicial officer	23	19.2
Government	29	24.2
Public service agency or organization	1	0.8
Retired	6	5.0
Other	1	0.8
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	8	6.7
5 years or fewer	6	5.0
6 to 10 years	14	11.7
11 to 15 years	9	7.5
16 to 20 years	12	10.0
More than 20 years	71	59.2
Cases Handled		
No response	2	1.7
Prosecution	9	7.5
Criminal	8	6.7
Mixed criminal & civil	40	33.3
Civil	57	47.5
Other	4	3.3
Location of Practice		
No response	1	0.8
First District	50	41.7
Second District	2	1.7
Third District	61	50.8
Fourth District	5	4.2
Outside Alaska	1	0.8
Gender		
No response	5	4.2
Male	78	65.0
Female	37	30.8

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 12
William B. Carey
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	120	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.4
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	99	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.4
Experience within last 5 years	82	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.2	4.3
Experience not within last 5 years	8	4.3	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.7
Substantial amount of experience	33	4.3	4.7	4.8	4.5	4.3	4.6
Moderate amount of experience	36	4.2	4.4	4.7	4.5	4.2	4.3
Limited amount of experience	30	4.0	4.3	4.5	4.2	4.2	4.2
Professional reputation	12	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.4	4.4
Other personal contacts	9	4.8	4.7	4.9	4.9	4.5	4.6
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	24	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	19	3.8	4.0	4.3	4.1	3.7	3.9
Private, 6+ attorneys	6	3.7	4.2	4.5	4.5	3.8	4.2
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	17	4.6	4.8	4.9	4.7	4.7	4.8
Government	27	4.3	4.8	4.9	4.6	4.5	4.6
Public service agency or organization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retired	4	4.3	4.5	5.0	4.3	4.0	4.5
Other	1	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	6	4.3	4.7	4.8	4.5	4.0	4.3
6 to 10 years	14	4.0	4.1	4.3	4.1	3.8	4.2
11 to 15 years	9	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.7
16 to 20 years	7	3.9	4.3	4.6	4.3	3.9	4.1
More than 20 years	56	4.2	4.4	4.7	4.5	4.3	4.4
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	8	4.4	4.8	4.9	4.6	4.4	4.6
Criminal	7	4.4	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.4	4.9
Mixed criminal & civil	32	4.4	4.6	4.8	4.4	4.5	4.5
Civil	47	4.0	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.0	4.2
Other	3	4.3	4.7	4.7	5.0	4.5	5.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	42	4.3	4.6	4.7	4.4	4.2	4.4
Second District	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Third District	51	4.1	4.3	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.3
Fourth District	3	4.3	4.3	4.7	5.0	4.3	4.3
Outside Alaska	1	5.0	5.0	-	5.0	5.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	63	4.2	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.3	4.5
Female	31	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.2	4.0	4.3

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 13
Kevin G. Miller
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	67	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	59	88.1
Professional reputation	8	11.9
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	48	81.4
Substantial amount of experience	26	44.1
Moderate amount of experience	13	22.0
Limited amount of experience	20	33.9
Type of Practice		
No response	1	1.5
Private, solo	15	22.4
Private, 2-5 attorneys	12	17.9
Private, 6+ attorneys	1	1.5
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	18	26.9
Government	17	25.4
Public service agency or organization	2	3.0
Retired	1	1.5
Other	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	7	10.4
5 years or fewer	5	7.5
6 to 10 years	8	11.9
11 to 15 years	6	9.0
16 to 20 years	8	11.9
More than 20 years	33	49.3
Cases Handled		
No response	1	1.5
Prosecution	5	7.5
Criminal	6	9.0
Mixed criminal & civil	31	46.3
Civil	22	32.8
Other	2	3.0
Location of Practice		
No response	1	1.5
First District	40	59.7
Second District	2	3.0
Third District	18	26.9
Fourth District	6	9.0
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	3	4.5
Male	42	62.7
Female	22	32.8

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 14
Kevin G. Miller
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	67	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.7
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	59	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7
Experience within last 5 years	48	4.5	4.7	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.8
Experience not within last 5 years	6	4.2	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.3
Substantial amount of experience	26	4.5	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.8
Moderate amount of experience	13	4.6	4.7	5.0	4.8	4.7	4.7
Limited amount of experience	20	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.6
Professional reputation	8	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.4
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	13	4.3	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.5
Private, 2-5 attorneys	12	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.7
Private, 6+ attorneys	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	16	4.6	4.9	5.0	4.8	4.7	4.8
Government	15	4.5	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.7	4.9
Public service agency or organization	1	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Retired	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	5	4.4	4.8	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.8
6 to 10 years	8	4.5	4.5	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.8
11 to 15 years	6	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.7
16 to 20 years	5	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.8
More than 20 years	30	4.6	4.8	4.9	4.7	4.7	4.7
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	3	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	4.7	4.7
Criminal	6	4.8	4.7	4.8	5.0	4.8	4.8
Mixed criminal & civil	28	4.5	4.8	5.0	4.8	4.7	4.8
Civil	21	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6
Other	1	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	37	4.6	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.8
Second District	2	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	15	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.5
Fourth District	5	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.8	4.8
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	39	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.7
Female	19	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.5	4.7

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 15
Kirsten Swanson
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	53	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	38	71.7
Professional reputation	10	18.9
Other personal contacts	5	9.4
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	32	84.2
Substantial amount of experience	14	36.8
Moderate amount of experience	9	23.7
Limited amount of experience	15	39.5
Type of Practice		
No response	1	1.9
Private, solo	13	24.5
Private, 2-5 attorneys	6	11.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	-	-
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	12	22.6
Government	18	34.0
Public service agency or organization	1	1.9
Retired	2	3.8
Other	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	4	7.5
5 years or fewer	3	5.7
6 to 10 years	8	15.1
11 to 15 years	4	7.5
16 to 20 years	9	17.0
More than 20 years	25	47.2
Cases Handled		
No response	1	1.9
Prosecution	4	7.5
Criminal	5	9.4
Mixed criminal & civil	20	37.7
Civil	20	37.7
Other	3	5.7
Location of Practice		
No response	1	1.9
First District	36	67.9
Second District	-	-
Third District	14	26.4
Fourth District	2	3.8
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	2	3.8
Male	27	50.9
Female	24	45.3

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 16
Kirsten Swanson
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	53	4.4	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.6
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	38	4.4	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.7
Experience within last 5 years	32	4.4	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.7
Experience not within last 5 years	4	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.5
Substantial amount of experience	14	4.3	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6
Moderate amount of experience	9	4.4	4.9	4.9	4.7	4.7	4.7
Limited amount of experience	15	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.7
Professional reputation	10	4.5	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.6
Other personal contacts	5	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.2	4.8	4.2
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	12	4.3	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.7
Private, 2-5 attorneys	3	2.5	4.0	3.5	3.7	3.0	3.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9
Government	11	4.5	4.9	4.9	4.7	4.7	4.7
Public service agency or organization	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Retired	2	4.0	4.5	4.5	4.0	4.5	4.5
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
6 to 10 years	8	4.1	4.8	4.9	4.6	4.6	4.5
11 to 15 years	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
16 to 20 years	7	4.4	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.7
More than 20 years	17	4.4	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.6
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	3	4.7	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	4.7
Criminal	3	4.7	5.0	5.0	4.7	4.7	4.7
Mixed criminal & civil	19	4.3	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.6
Civil	11	4.3	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.7
Other	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	27	4.3	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.6
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	9	4.7	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.8
Fourth District	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	23	4.4	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7
Female	15	4.4	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.7

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 17
Angela M. Greene
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	77	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	62	80.5
Professional reputation	13	16.9
Other personal contacts	2	2.6
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	53	85.5
Substantial amount of experience	21	33.9
Moderate amount of experience	22	35.5
Limited amount of experience	19	30.6
Type of Practice		
No response	2	2.6
Private, solo	14	18.2
Private, 2-5 attorneys	9	11.7
Private, 6+ attorneys	8	10.4
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	12	15.6
Government	31	40.3
Public service agency or organization	1	1.3
Retired	-	-
Other	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	9	11.7
5 years or fewer	8	10.4
6 to 10 years	9	11.7
11 to 15 years	12	15.6
16 to 20 years	7	9.1
More than 20 years	32	41.6
Cases Handled		
No response	2	2.6
Prosecution	15	19.5
Criminal	5	6.5
Mixed criminal & civil	26	33.8
Civil	28	36.4
Other	1	1.3
Location of Practice		
No response	2	2.6
First District	3	3.9
Second District	7	9.1
Third District	40	51.9
Fourth District	22	28.6
Outside Alaska	3	3.9
Gender		
No response	3	3.9
Male	39	50.6
Female	35	45.5

Table 18
Angela M. Greene
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	77	2.9	3.1	3.1	3.0	2.5	2.6
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	62	2.9	3.2	3.2	3.0	2.5	2.6
Experience within last 5 years	53	2.9	3.1	3.1	2.9	2.5	2.5
Experience not within last 5 years	2	3.5	3.5	4.5	4.0	3.0	3.5
Substantial amount of experience	21	2.6	3.1	3.0	2.8	2.2	2.3
Moderate amount of experience	22	3.2	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.5	2.6
Limited amount of experience	19	3.0	3.4	3.6	3.2	2.8	2.9
Professional reputation	13	2.8	2.7	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.6
Other personal contacts	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	10	3.7	4.0	4.2	3.9	3.3	3.6
Private, 2-5 attorneys	8	3.3	3.4	3.8	3.5	2.9	3.1
Private, 6+ attorneys	7	2.6	2.9	3.3	2.9	2.3	2.3
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	7	2.3	2.6	2.1	2.1	1.9	1.9
Government	28	2.9	3.1	3.0	2.8	2.4	2.4
Public service agency or organization	1	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Retired	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	8	2.7	2.6	3.0	2.7	2.3	2.4
6 to 10 years	9	3.0	3.4	2.9	2.9	2.6	2.7
11 to 15 years	10	2.7	3.2	3.4	3.0	2.4	2.1
16 to 20 years	4	3.3	3.3	3.7	3.3	3.0	3.0
More than 20 years	25	3.0	3.2	3.3	3.1	2.5	2.8
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	13	2.5	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.1	2.2
Criminal	4	3.3	4.3	4.0	3.7	2.3	2.3
Mixed criminal & civil	19	3.1	3.0	2.9	2.8	2.4	2.5
Civil	24	3.0	3.4	3.7	3.4	2.9	3.0
Other	1	4.0	4.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	3	3.3	4.3	4.3	4.0	3.0	3.3
Second District	7	2.8	3.0	2.6	3.0	3.0	2.6
Third District	30	3.0	3.3	3.3	3.0	2.5	2.6
Fourth District	18	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.7	2.1	2.2
Outside Alaska	3	4.3	3.7	4.3	4.0	3.7	4.3
Gender*							
Male	33	3.1	3.3	3.5	3.3	2.8	2.9
Female	28	2.8	3.1	2.8	2.7	2.2	2.2

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 19
Steve W. Cole
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	99	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	87	87.9
Professional reputation	10	10.1
Other personal contacts	2	2.0
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	72	82.8
Substantial amount of experience	25	28.7
Moderate amount of experience	30	34.5
Limited amount of experience	32	36.8
Type of Practice		
No response	1	1.0
Private, solo	20	20.2
Private, 2-5 attorneys	15	15.2
Private, 6+ attorneys	7	7.1
Private, corporate employee	1	1.0
Judge or judicial officer	20	20.2
Government	32	32.3
Public service agency or organization	-	-
Retired	3	3.0
Other	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	9	9.1
5 years or fewer	7	7.1
6 to 10 years	12	12.1
11 to 15 years	9	9.1
16 to 20 years	12	12.1
More than 20 years	50	50.5
Cases Handled		
No response	1	1.0
Prosecution	13	13.1
Criminal	13	13.1
Mixed criminal & civil	31	31.3
Civil	40	40.4
Other	1	1.0
Location of Practice		
No response	2	2.0
First District	1	1.0
Second District	1	1.0
Third District	86	86.9
Fourth District	7	7.1
Outside Alaska	2	2.0
Gender		
No response	2	2.0
Male	66	66.7
Female	31	31.3

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 20
Steve W. Cole
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	99	3.8	4.1	4.3	4.0	3.7	3.9
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	87	3.8	4.1	4.4	4.1	3.7	3.9
Experience within last 5 years	72	3.8	4.1	4.4	4.1	3.6	3.9
Experience not within last 5 years	6	3.8	4.3	4.7	4.2	4.2	4.3
Substantial amount of experience	25	3.6	4.1	4.4	4.0	3.6	3.8
Moderate amount of experience	30	3.8	4.1	4.4	4.1	3.7	3.9
Limited amount of experience	32	3.8	4.1	4.4	4.1	3.7	4.0
Professional reputation	10	3.6	3.8	3.9	3.6	3.4	3.4
Other personal contacts	2	4.0	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.0	4.5
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	17	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.1	4.2
Private, 2-5 attorneys	15	3.6	4.0	4.3	4.2	3.3	3.9
Private, 6+ attorneys	7	3.3	3.7	4.1	3.9	2.7	3.4
Private, corporate employee	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Judge or judicial officer	16	3.6	4.4	4.6	4.2	3.7	3.8
Government	27	3.7	3.8	4.2	3.7	3.8	3.8
Public service agency or organization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retired	3	4.0	4.7	5.0	4.0	4.3	4.3
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	7	3.4	3.7	4.3	4.6	3.7	3.7
6 to 10 years	10	4.0	4.3	4.9	4.0	3.6	4.1
11 to 15 years	9	4.2	4.2	4.6	4.1	4.2	4.2
16 to 20 years	10	3.5	3.8	4.0	3.8	3.3	3.5
More than 20 years	42	3.8	4.2	4.4	4.1	3.7	3.9
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	12	3.8	4.0	4.3	3.7	3.5	3.8
Criminal	11	3.6	3.8	4.4	3.9	4.2	3.8
Mixed criminal & civil	24	3.8	4.3	4.5	4.1	3.8	4.0
Civil	38	3.7	4.1	4.3	4.2	3.4	3.9
Other	1	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	79	3.7	4.1	4.4	4.1	3.7	3.9
Fourth District	5	4.0	4.0	4.4	4.2	4.0	4.0
Outside Alaska	1	3.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.0
Gender*							
Male	57	3.7	4.1	4.4	4.0	3.6	3.8
Female	28	3.9	4.1	4.3	4.2	3.8	4.0

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 21
Michael D. Corey
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	144	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	124	86.1
Professional reputation	16	11.1
Other personal contacts	4	2.8
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	104	83.9
Substantial amount of experience	46	37.1
Moderate amount of experience	39	31.5
Limited amount of experience	38	30.6
Type of Practice		
No response	2	1.4
Private, solo	27	18.8
Private, 2-5 attorneys	24	16.7
Private, 6+ attorneys	15	10.4
Private, corporate employee	3	2.1
Judge or judicial officer	23	16.0
Government	44	30.6
Public service agency or organization	2	1.4
Retired	3	2.1
Other	1	0.7
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	11	7.6
5 years or fewer	14	9.7
6 to 10 years	19	13.2
11 to 15 years	16	11.1
16 to 20 years	12	8.3
More than 20 years	72	50.0
Cases Handled		
No response	1	0.7
Prosecution	17	11.8
Criminal	20	13.9
Mixed criminal & civil	33	22.9
Civil	70	48.6
Other	3	2.1
Location of Practice		
No response	2	1.4
First District	4	2.8
Second District	-	-
Third District	133	92.4
Fourth District	4	2.8
Outside Alaska	1	0.7
Gender		
No response	3	2.1
Male	99	68.8
Female	42	29.2

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 22
Michael D. Corey
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	144	3.9	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.1	4.0
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	124	3.9	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.1	4.0
Experience within last 5 years	104	3.9	4.1	4.4	4.1	4.1	4.0
Experience not within last 5 years	8	3.9	4.1	4.1	4.4	4.1	4.1
Substantial amount of experience	46	3.9	4.2	4.5	4.1	4.2	4.0
Moderate amount of experience	39	3.7	4.0	4.3	4.1	3.9	3.8
Limited amount of experience	38	4.0	4.1	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.1
Professional reputation	16	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.1	4.3
Other personal contacts	4	4.7	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.0	4.7
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	24	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	19	3.7	4.0	4.2	4.0	3.8	3.8
Private, 6+ attorneys	12	4.3	4.9	5.0	4.7	4.2	4.4
Private, corporate employee	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Judge or judicial officer	18	4.5	4.3	4.7	4.3	4.4	4.4
Government	41	3.4	3.7	4.2	3.8	3.8	3.5
Public service agency or organization	2	4.0	4.0	4.5	5.0	3.5	3.5
Retired	3	4.7	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	5.0
Other	1	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	14	3.3	4.0	4.2	3.9	3.8	3.6
6 to 10 years	17	3.4	3.8	4.1	3.6	3.7	3.4
11 to 15 years	12	4.0	4.3	4.8	4.3	4.3	4.1
16 to 20 years	10	4.1	4.2	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.3
More than 20 years	61	4.1	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.2
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	17	2.9	3.6	4.0	3.2	3.5	3.2
Criminal	18	3.8	4.1	4.6	4.4	4.2	3.9
Mixed criminal & civil	30	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.3
Civil	55	4.0	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.0	4.0
Other	3	4.3	4.3	4.7	4.3	4.5	4.3
Location of Practice*							
First District	3	3.7	4.3	4.0	3.5	3.7	3.7
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	116	3.9	4.1	4.4	4.1	4.1	3.9
Fourth District	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	5.0
Outside Alaska	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	85	4.0	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.2	4.1
Female	36	3.5	3.8	4.1	3.9	3.8	3.6

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 23
William F. Morse
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	315	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	287	91.1
Professional reputation	19	6.0
Other personal contacts	9	2.9
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	230	80.1
Substantial amount of experience	93	32.4
Moderate amount of experience	107	37.3
Limited amount of experience	87	30.3
Type of Practice		
No response	3	1.0
Private, solo	67	21.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	60	19.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	39	12.4
Private, corporate employee	6	1.9
Judge or judicial officer	46	14.6
Government	80	25.4
Public service agency or organization	6	1.9
Retired	8	2.5
Other	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	21	6.7
5 years or fewer	26	8.3
6 to 10 years	34	10.8
11 to 15 years	41	13.0
16 to 20 years	29	9.2
More than 20 years	164	52.1
Cases Handled		
No response	2	0.6
Prosecution	20	6.3
Criminal	26	8.3
Mixed criminal & civil	78	24.8
Civil	183	58.1
Other	6	1.9
Location of Practice		
No response	2	0.6
First District	9	2.9
Second District	1	0.3
Third District	292	92.7
Fourth District	7	2.2
Outside Alaska	4	1.3
Gender		
No response	9	2.9
Male	187	59.4
Female	119	37.8

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 24
William F. Morse
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	315	4.2	4.0	4.3	3.6	4.1	4.0
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	287	4.2	3.9	4.2	3.5	4.1	4.0
Experience within last 5 years	230	4.2	4.0	4.3	3.5	4.1	4.0
Experience not within last 5 years	36	4.0	3.8	4.1	3.4	3.9	3.7
Substantial amount of experience	93	4.3	4.1	4.4	3.7	4.2	4.1
Moderate amount of experience	107	4.2	4.0	4.3	3.4	4.1	4.0
Limited amount of experience	87	4.0	3.7	4.0	3.4	3.9	3.8
Professional reputation	19	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.1	4.4	4.3
Other personal contacts	9	4.9	4.8	4.9	4.4	4.7	4.8
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	61	4.2	3.9	4.1	3.3	4.0	3.9
Private, 2-5 attorneys	59	4.0	3.8	4.1	3.4	3.9	3.8
Private, 6+ attorneys	33	4.3	4.1	4.5	3.6	4.3	4.2
Private, corporate employee	5	4.2	4.4	4.8	3.8	4.0	4.0
Judge or judicial officer	41	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.1	4.4	4.5
Government	74	4.1	3.8	4.1	3.3	4.0	3.8
Public service agency or organization	3	4.0	3.7	4.0	3.3	4.3	4.0
Retired	8	4.1	4.0	4.3	3.6	4.3	4.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	25	3.8	3.8	4.1	3.2	4.1	3.7
6 to 10 years	29	4.4	4.3	4.5	3.9	4.4	4.4
11 to 15 years	36	4.3	4.2	4.5	3.6	4.2	4.2
16 to 20 years	26	4.1	3.5	3.8	3.1	3.8	3.7
More than 20 years	151	4.2	4.0	4.3	3.6	4.1	4.0
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	19	3.6	3.2	3.4	2.9	3.8	3.2
Criminal	23	4.5	4.3	4.5	3.7	4.2	4.4
Mixed criminal & civil	73	4.3	4.1	4.3	3.7	4.2	4.1
Civil	166	4.1	3.9	4.3	3.4	4.0	3.9
Other	5	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.0	4.8	4.3
Location of Practice*							
First District	8	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.3	4.3	4.5
Second District	1	-	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Third District	268	4.2	3.9	4.2	3.5	4.1	3.9
Fourth District	6	4.5	4.2	4.0	3.8	3.8	4.0
Outside Alaska	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	168	4.2	3.9	4.3	3.5	4.1	4.0
Female	110	4.2	4.0	4.2	3.5	4.0	3.9

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 25
Herman G. Walker, Jr.
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	182	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	146	80.2
Professional reputation	25	13.7
Other personal contacts	11	6.0
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	123	84.2
Substantial amount of experience	42	28.8
Moderate amount of experience	56	38.4
Limited amount of experience	48	32.9
Type of Practice		
No response	2	1.1
Private, solo	44	24.2
Private, 2-5 attorneys	41	22.5
Private, 6+ attorneys	18	9.9
Private, corporate employee	2	1.1
Judge or judicial officer	33	18.1
Government	36	19.8
Public service agency or organization	3	1.6
Retired	3	1.6
Other	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	11	6.0
5 years or fewer	20	11.0
6 to 10 years	10	5.5
11 to 15 years	18	9.9
16 to 20 years	18	9.9
More than 20 years	105	57.7
Cases Handled		
No response	2	1.1
Prosecution	6	3.3
Criminal	8	4.4
Mixed criminal & civil	50	27.5
Civil	114	62.6
Other	2	1.1
Location of Practice		
No response	1	0.5
First District	5	2.7
Second District	-	-
Third District	167	91.8
Fourth District	6	3.3
Outside Alaska	3	1.6
Gender		
No response	3	1.6
Male	99	54.4
Female	80	44.0

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 26
Herman G. Walker, Jr.
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	182	3.9	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.0	4.1
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	146	3.8	4.2	4.4	4.3	3.9	4.0
Experience within last 5 years	123	3.8	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.0	4.1
Experience not within last 5 years	4	4.0	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.0	3.8
Substantial amount of experience	42	3.8	4.1	4.3	4.3	3.9	3.9
Moderate amount of experience	56	3.9	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.1	4.2
Limited amount of experience	48	3.6	4.2	4.4	4.2	3.8	3.9
Professional reputation	25	4.0	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.1
Other personal contacts	11	4.3	4.6	4.8	4.9	4.3	4.5
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	34	3.8	4.2	4.2	4.1	3.8	3.9
Private, 2-5 attorneys	36	3.7	3.9	4.2	4.1	3.9	3.9
Private, 6+ attorneys	15	3.8	4.1	4.7	4.4	3.9	4.1
Private, corporate employee	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Judge or judicial officer	25	4.2	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.3	4.5
Government	28	3.4	4.1	4.2	4.1	3.6	3.7
Public service agency or organization	3	4.7	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	5.0
Retired	2	4.0	4.0	4.5	4.0	4.0	4.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	16	4.1	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.1	4.4
6 to 10 years	9	3.8	4.0	4.1	3.8	3.4	3.7
11 to 15 years	13	3.8	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.2
16 to 20 years	14	3.6	4.2	4.2	4.4	3.9	3.9
More than 20 years	86	3.8	4.2	4.4	4.2	3.9	4.0
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	4	2.8	4.0	4.3	3.8	3.8	3.5
Criminal	4	4.8	5.0	4.8	5.0	4.5	4.8
Mixed criminal & civil	40	3.9	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.0	4.0
Civil	95	3.7	4.1	4.4	4.2	3.8	4.0
Other	2	4.5	5.0	5.0	4.5	5.0	4.5
Location of Practice*							
First District	4	4.0	4.3	4.7	4.0	4.0	4.0
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	137	3.8	4.2	4.4	4.3	3.9	4.0
Fourth District	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Outside Alaska	2	4.0	4.0	3.5	4.0	4.0	3.0
Gender*							
Male	77	3.7	4.1	4.3	4.1	3.9	4.0
Female	67	3.9	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.0	4.0

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 27
Michael L. Wolverton
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	197	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	158	80.2
Professional reputation	30	15.2
Other personal contacts	9	4.6
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	106	67.1
Substantial amount of experience	66	41.8
Moderate amount of experience	44	27.8
Limited amount of experience	45	28.5
Type of Practice		
No response	2	1.0
Private, solo	46	23.4
Private, 2-5 attorneys	25	12.7
Private, 6+ attorneys	14	7.1
Private, corporate employee	5	2.5
Judge or judicial officer	37	18.8
Government	57	28.9
Public service agency or organization	3	1.5
Retired	8	4.1
Other	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	15	7.6
5 years or fewer	14	7.1
6 to 10 years	21	10.7
11 to 15 years	20	10.2
16 to 20 years	17	8.6
More than 20 years	110	55.8
Cases Handled		
No response	2	1.0
Prosecution	20	10.2
Criminal	32	16.2
Mixed criminal & civil	59	29.9
Civil	81	41.1
Other	3	1.5
Location of Practice		
No response	3	1.5
First District	6	3.0
Second District	-	-
Third District	175	88.8
Fourth District	9	4.6
Outside Alaska	4	2.0
Gender		
No response	6	3.0
Male	122	61.9
Female	69	35.0

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 28
Michael L. Wolverton
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	197	4.2	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.1	4.3
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	158	4.2	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.1	4.3
Experience within last 5 years	106	4.2	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.1	4.3
Experience not within last 5 years	39	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.1	4.3
Substantial amount of experience	66	4.2	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.1	4.3
Moderate amount of experience	44	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.5
Limited amount of experience	45	4.1	4.5	4.5	4.4	3.9	4.2
Professional reputation	30	4.2	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.2	4.3
Other personal contacts	9	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.9	4.9	4.8
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	35	4.3	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.3	4.5
Private, 2-5 attorneys	19	4.2	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.0	4.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	10	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.8	4.2	4.3
Private, corporate employee	4	4.0	4.0	4.5	4.0	3.8	4.0
Judge or judicial officer	28	4.4	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.4	4.6
Government	52	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.2	3.8	4.2
Public service agency or organization	3	4.3	4.7	4.7	4.3	4.3	4.7
Retired	6	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.2
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	12	3.8	3.9	4.2	4.1	3.5	3.8
6 to 10 years	21	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.0	4.3
11 to 15 years	17	4.2	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.1	4.4
16 to 20 years	13	4.3	4.5	4.8	4.3	4.1	4.3
More than 20 years	83	4.2	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.2	4.4
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	20	3.6	3.9	4.1	3.7	3.4	3.6
Criminal	32	4.4	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.3	4.5
Mixed criminal & civil	48	4.4	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.4	4.6
Civil	55	4.1	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.0	4.2
Other	2	5.0	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.0	4.5
Location of Practice*							
First District	4	3.5	4.3	4.3	4.0	3.5	4.3
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	142	4.2	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.1	4.3
Fourth District	6	4.2	5.0	5.0	4.7	4.7	4.8
Outside Alaska	3	4.7	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	5.0
Gender*							
Male	95	4.2	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.1	4.3
Female	57	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.4

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 29
Jo-Ann Chung
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	161	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	137	85.1
Professional reputation	12	7.5
Other personal contacts	12	7.5
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	123	89.8
Substantial amount of experience	47	34.3
Moderate amount of experience	50	36.5
Limited amount of experience	40	29.2
Type of Practice		
No response	2	1.2
Private, solo	32	19.9
Private, 2-5 attorneys	26	16.1
Private, 6+ attorneys	17	10.6
Private, corporate employee	4	2.5
Judge or judicial officer	29	18.0
Government	45	28.0
Public service agency or organization	4	2.5
Retired	2	1.2
Other	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	15	9.3
5 years or fewer	18	11.2
6 to 10 years	24	14.9
11 to 15 years	21	13.0
16 to 20 years	16	9.9
More than 20 years	67	41.6
Cases Handled		
No response	2	1.2
Prosecution	15	9.3
Criminal	23	14.3
Mixed criminal & civil	45	28.0
Civil	73	45.3
Other	3	1.9
Location of Practice		
No response	3	1.9
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	150	93.2
Fourth District	6	3.7
Outside Alaska	2	1.2
Gender		
No response	5	3.1
Male	97	60.2
Female	59	36.6

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 30
Jo-Ann Chung
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	161	4.1	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.2
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	137	4.1	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.1
Experience within last 5 years	123	4.0	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.1
Experience not within last 5 years	6	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.7	4.7
Substantial amount of experience	47	3.9	3.9	4.1	4.0	4.2	4.0
Moderate amount of experience	50	4.2	4.2	4.5	4.2	4.3	4.2
Limited amount of experience	40	4.1	4.3	4.6	4.3	4.3	4.3
Professional reputation	12	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.4	4.6
Other personal contacts	12	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.2	4.3	4.3
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	28	4.0	4.1	4.3	4.0	4.1	4.1
Private, 2-5 attorneys	24	3.8	3.8	4.1	4.2	4.1	3.9
Private, 6+ attorneys	14	4.1	4.0	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.2
Private, corporate employee	4	3.7	4.3	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.3
Judge or judicial officer	23	4.4	4.7	4.8	4.3	4.4	4.5
Government	38	3.9	4.1	4.4	4.1	4.4	4.1
Public service agency or organization	3	4.7	4.7	5.0	4.3	5.0	4.7
Retired	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	18	3.6	4.0	4.2	4.2	4.3	3.9
6 to 10 years	23	4.1	3.9	4.3	4.1	4.4	4.2
11 to 15 years	17	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.3
16 to 20 years	13	4.1	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.0
More than 20 years	53	4.1	4.2	4.4	4.1	4.1	4.1
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	13	4.1	4.5	4.8	4.1	4.5	4.4
Criminal	22	4.1	3.8	4.2	4.2	4.4	3.9
Mixed criminal & civil	40	4.1	4.1	4.2	3.9	4.1	4.1
Civil	57	4.0	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.2
Other	3	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.7	5.0	4.7
Location of Practice*							
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	130	4.0	4.1	4.4	4.1	4.3	4.1
Fourth District	3	4.7	5.0	4.7	5.0	4.7	5.0
Outside Alaska	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	88	4.0	4.0	4.4	4.1	4.2	4.0
Female	44	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.4

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 31
Brian K. Clark
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	163	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	139	85.3
Professional reputation	15	9.2
Other personal contacts	9	5.5
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	118	84.9
Substantial amount of experience	56	40.3
Moderate amount of experience	50	36.0
Limited amount of experience	33	23.7
Type of Practice		
No response	2	1.2
Private, solo	32	19.6
Private, 2-5 attorneys	28	17.2
Private, 6+ attorneys	13	8.0
Private, corporate employee	4	2.5
Judge or judicial officer	30	18.4
Government	45	27.6
Public service agency or organization	3	1.8
Retired	6	3.7
Other	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	13	8.0
5 years or fewer	12	7.4
6 to 10 years	20	12.3
11 to 15 years	23	14.1
16 to 20 years	17	10.4
More than 20 years	78	47.9
Cases Handled		
No response	1	0.6
Prosecution	17	10.4
Criminal	22	13.5
Mixed criminal & civil	52	31.9
Civil	69	42.3
Other	2	1.2
Location of Practice		
No response	2	1.2
First District	1	0.6
Second District	-	-
Third District	150	92.0
Fourth District	8	4.9
Outside Alaska	2	1.2
Gender		
No response	3	1.8
Male	103	63.2
Female	57	35.0

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 32
Brian K. Clark
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	163	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.6
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	139	4.4	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.4	4.6
Experience within last 5 years	118	4.4	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.4	4.5
Experience not within last 5 years	13	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.6	4.8
Substantial amount of experience	56	4.4	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.6
Moderate amount of experience	50	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.5	4.6
Limited amount of experience	33	4.3	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.3	4.5
Professional reputation	15	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.7
Other personal contacts	9	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.5
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	28	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.4	4.6
Private, 2-5 attorneys	24	4.3	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.3	4.6
Private, 6+ attorneys	12	4.3	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.5
Private, corporate employee	3	4.7	4.3	4.7	4.7	4.3	4.3
Judge or judicial officer	25	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.6	4.8
Government	40	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.4
Public service agency or organization	2	4.5	5.0	5.0	4.5	5.0	5.0
Retired	3	4.7	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	5.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	12	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3
6 to 10 years	20	4.5	4.7	4.9	4.6	4.7	4.7
11 to 15 years	20	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.6	4.8
16 to 20 years	13	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.4	4.7
More than 20 years	62	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.3	4.5
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	16	4.1	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.2	4.3
Criminal	22	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.7
Mixed criminal & civil	41	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.4	4.6
Civil	57	4.4	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.4	4.6
Other	2	4.5	4.5	5.0	4.5	4.5	4.5
Location of Practice*							
First District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	129	4.4	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.4	4.6
Fourth District	5	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.2	4.4
Outside Alaska	2	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	90	4.4	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.4	4.6
Female	46	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.5

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 33
William L. Estelle
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	109	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	86	78.9
Professional reputation	16	14.7
Other personal contacts	7	6.4
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	73	84.9
Substantial amount of experience	34	39.5
Moderate amount of experience	31	36.0
Limited amount of experience	21	24.4
Type of Practice		
No response	2	1.8
Private, solo	27	24.8
Private, 2-5 attorneys	13	11.9
Private, 6+ attorneys	4	3.7
Private, corporate employee	1	0.9
Judge or judicial officer	27	24.8
Government	28	25.7
Public service agency or organization	3	2.8
Retired	4	3.7
Other	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	11	10.1
5 years or fewer	8	7.3
6 to 10 years	11	10.1
11 to 15 years	13	11.9
16 to 20 years	11	10.1
More than 20 years	55	50.5
Cases Handled		
No response	1	0.9
Prosecution	9	8.3
Criminal	14	12.8
Mixed criminal & civil	44	40.4
Civil	39	35.8
Other	2	1.8
Location of Practice		
No response	1	0.9
First District	2	1.8
Second District	1	0.9
Third District	96	88.1
Fourth District	9	8.3
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	4	3.7
Male	75	68.8
Female	30	27.5

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 34
William L. Estelle
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	109	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.7	3.3	3.4
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	86	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.7	3.2	3.3
Experience within last 5 years	73	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.6	3.2	3.3
Experience not within last 5 years	10	3.7	3.9	3.7	3.9	3.8	3.6
Substantial amount of experience	34	3.6	3.3	3.2	3.3	3.0	3.1
Moderate amount of experience	31	3.7	3.8	3.7	3.8	3.4	3.4
Limited amount of experience	21	3.7	3.9	3.6	3.9	3.4	3.6
Professional reputation	16	3.5	3.6	3.3	3.9	3.4	3.3
Other personal contacts	7	4.2	4.5	4.4	4.7	3.8	4.2
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	25	3.7	3.8	3.7	3.9	3.6	3.7
Private, 2-5 attorneys	10	3.4	3.2	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	4	4.3	3.3	3.8	4.3	2.5	3.3
Private, corporate employee	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	20	3.7	3.7	3.1	3.9	3.0	3.2
Government	21	3.6	3.3	3.4	3.0	3.1	3.0
Public service agency or organization	2	3.0	3.0	4.0	2.5	2.5	3.0
Retired	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	8	2.9	2.6	2.9	2.3	2.4	2.5
6 to 10 years	10	3.9	3.4	3.5	3.2	3.0	3.1
11 to 15 years	11	4.0	3.6	4.0	3.7	3.5	3.6
16 to 20 years	6	4.0	3.3	3.2	3.7	3.2	3.0
More than 20 years	42	3.6	3.7	3.4	3.9	3.3	3.4
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	8	3.6	3.6	3.6	2.9	2.9	3.0
Criminal	12	3.2	3.1	3.2	3.3	3.0	2.9
Mixed criminal & civil	35	4.0	3.8	3.6	4.0	3.4	3.6
Civil	29	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.7	3.3	3.3
Other	1	4.0	3.0	1.0	3.0	3.0	1.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	1	5.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Third District	80	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.6	3.2	3.3
Fourth District	4	4.8	4.8	4.5	4.8	4.5	4.5
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	61	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.9	3.5	3.6
Female	22	3.2	2.9	2.8	3.1	2.6	2.5

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 35
Sharon A. S. Illsley
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	62	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	48	77.4
Professional reputation	11	17.7
Other personal contacts	3	4.8
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	41	85.4
Substantial amount of experience	23	47.9
Moderate amount of experience	11	22.9
Limited amount of experience	14	29.2
Type of Practice		
No response	1	1.6
Private, solo	12	19.4
Private, 2-5 attorneys	5	8.1
Private, 6+ attorneys	2	3.2
Private, corporate employee	1	1.6
Judge or judicial officer	14	22.6
Government	23	37.1
Public service agency or organization	-	-
Retired	4	6.5
Other	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	5	8.1
5 years or fewer	4	6.5
6 to 10 years	6	9.7
11 to 15 years	6	9.7
16 to 20 years	7	11.3
More than 20 years	34	54.8
Cases Handled		
No response	1	1.6
Prosecution	10	16.1
Criminal	10	16.1
Mixed criminal & civil	22	35.5
Civil	18	29.0
Other	1	1.6
Location of Practice		
No response	2	3.2
First District	2	3.2
Second District	-	-
Third District	53	85.5
Fourth District	4	6.5
Outside Alaska	1	1.6
Gender		
No response	3	4.8
Male	36	58.1
Female	23	37.1

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 36
Sharon A. S. Illsley
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	62	3.8	3.8	4.2	3.8	4.0	3.7
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	48	3.8	3.8	4.2	3.9	4.0	3.7
Experience within last 5 years	41	3.8	3.8	4.1	3.8	3.9	3.7
Experience not within last 5 years	5	4.2	3.8	4.4	4.0	4.2	4.0
Substantial amount of experience	23	3.7	3.5	4.0	3.7	3.9	3.5
Moderate amount of experience	11	3.9	4.2	4.5	4.0	4.2	4.1
Limited amount of experience	14	4.0	4.0	4.2	4.0	4.0	3.8
Professional reputation	11	3.8	4.0	4.2	3.8	4.0	3.8
Other personal contacts	3	3.3	3.0	4.0	3.3	3.7	3.3
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	10	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.1
Private, 2-5 attorneys	4	4.0	4.0	4.5	4.5	4.0	4.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	2	3.0	4.0	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.0
Private, corporate employee	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Judge or judicial officer	11	3.7	3.5	4.4	3.3	4.0	3.4
Government	17	3.8	3.7	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.7
Public service agency or organization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retired	2	4.5	4.5	5.0	4.5	4.5	4.5
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	4	4.3	4.3	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.3
6 to 10 years	6	3.2	3.8	4.0	4.3	4.2	3.8
11 to 15 years	4	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.0
16 to 20 years	7	4.3	4.1	4.4	4.0	4.3	4.1
More than 20 years	23	3.8	3.8	4.2	3.8	4.0	3.7
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	6	4.0	3.8	3.8	4.2	4.3	4.0
Criminal	8	3.1	3.3	3.9	3.5	3.8	3.0
Mixed criminal & civil	17	3.9	3.8	4.2	3.6	3.9	3.7
Civil	15	4.1	4.3	4.7	4.5	4.4	4.3
Other	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	2	3.5	5.0	5.0	4.5	4.5	4.5
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	40	3.9	3.8	4.2	4.0	4.1	3.8
Fourth District	3	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.0	4.3	4.3
Outside Alaska	1	2.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0
Gender*							
Male	31	3.9	3.9	4.3	4.1	4.2	3.9
Female	15	3.8	3.7	4.0	3.5	3.8	3.6

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 37
John W. Wolfe
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	96	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	85	88.5
Professional reputation	7	7.3
Other personal contacts	4	4.2
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	75	88.2
Substantial amount of experience	28	32.9
Moderate amount of experience	34	40.0
Limited amount of experience	23	27.1
Type of Practice		
No response	1	1.0
Private, solo	22	22.9
Private, 2-5 attorneys	8	8.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	5	5.2
Private, corporate employee	1	1.0
Judge or judicial officer	27	28.1
Government	26	27.1
Public service agency or organization	2	2.1
Retired	4	4.2
Other	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	10	10.4
5 years or fewer	6	6.3
6 to 10 years	11	11.5
11 to 15 years	11	11.5
16 to 20 years	12	12.5
More than 20 years	46	47.9
Cases Handled		
No response	1	1.0
Prosecution	12	12.5
Criminal	13	13.5
Mixed criminal & civil	45	46.9
Civil	24	25.0
Other	1	1.0
Location of Practice		
No response	1	1.0
First District	-	-
Second District	1	1.0
Third District	87	90.6
Fourth District	7	7.3
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	5	5.2
Male	60	62.5
Female	31	32.3

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 38
John W. Wolfe
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	96	3.7	3.7	4.1	3.8	3.9	3.7
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	85	3.6	3.6	4.1	3.7	3.9	3.7
Experience within last 5 years	75	3.6	3.6	4.1	3.7	3.9	3.6
Experience not within last 5 years	6	3.5	4.3	4.7	4.3	4.0	4.2
Substantial amount of experience	28	3.3	3.3	3.9	3.3	3.5	3.2
Moderate amount of experience	34	3.9	3.9	4.1	3.9	4.2	4.0
Limited amount of experience	23	3.6	3.7	4.2	3.9	3.9	3.8
Professional reputation	7	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.1	4.4
Other personal contacts	4	4.0	4.3	4.8	4.3	4.3	4.0
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	21	3.7	3.7	4.1	4.0	4.0	3.8
Private, 2-5 attorneys	7	3.7	3.4	3.7	3.7	3.9	3.7
Private, 6+ attorneys	4	3.8	3.8	4.5	4.3	4.3	3.8
Private, corporate employee	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Judge or judicial officer	21	3.9	4.0	4.5	3.8	4.3	4.0
Government	25	3.3	3.3	3.8	3.3	3.6	3.3
Public service agency or organization	2	2.5	3.0	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.0
Retired	3	3.7	4.3	4.7	4.3	4.0	4.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	6	2.5	3.0	3.7	3.0	2.8	2.7
6 to 10 years	10	3.1	3.2	3.7	3.2	3.6	3.1
11 to 15 years	11	3.7	3.4	4.1	3.8	4.1	3.6
16 to 20 years	8	3.0	2.9	3.4	3.0	3.1	3.0
More than 20 years	42	3.9	4.0	4.4	4.0	4.1	4.1
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	11	3.1	3.5	3.9	3.0	3.5	3.1
Criminal	13	2.9	2.7	3.5	3.4	3.5	3.0
Mixed criminal & civil	38	3.7	3.7	4.1	3.7	4.0	3.8
Civil	21	4.0	4.2	4.5	4.5	4.2	4.3
Other	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	1	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	4.0
Third District	79	3.6	3.6	4.1	3.7	3.9	3.6
Fourth District	4	4.8	4.8	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.8
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	52	3.7	3.8	4.3	3.9	4.1	3.8
Female	28	3.5	3.4	3.8	3.4	3.6	3.5

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 39
Paul R. Lyle
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	112	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	104	92.9
Professional reputation	4	3.6
Other personal contacts	4	3.6
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	96	92.3
Substantial amount of experience	43	41.3
Moderate amount of experience	35	33.7
Limited amount of experience	25	24.0
Type of Practice		
No response	2	1.8
Private, solo	17	15.2
Private, 2-5 attorneys	14	12.5
Private, 6+ attorneys	6	5.4
Private, corporate employee	1	0.9
Judge or judicial officer	26	23.2
Government	35	31.3
Public service agency or organization	6	5.4
Retired	5	4.5
Other	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	9	8.0
5 years or fewer	11	9.8
6 to 10 years	19	17.0
11 to 15 years	14	12.5
16 to 20 years	11	9.8
More than 20 years	48	42.9
Cases Handled		
No response	3	2.7
Prosecution	10	8.9
Criminal	12	10.7
Mixed criminal & civil	39	34.8
Civil	47	42.0
Other	1	0.9
Location of Practice		
No response	2	1.8
First District	4	3.6
Second District	2	1.8
Third District	44	39.3
Fourth District	58	51.8
Outside Alaska	2	1.8
Gender		
No response	4	3.6
Male	59	52.7
Female	49	43.8

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 40
Paul R. Lyle
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	112	4.5	4.3	4.6	4.0	4.5	4.4
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	104	4.5	4.3	4.6	4.0	4.5	4.4
Experience within last 5 years	96	4.5	4.3	4.6	4.0	4.5	4.4
Experience not within last 5 years	6	4.3	4.0	4.7	4.0	4.3	4.2
Substantial amount of experience	43	4.6	4.4	4.7	3.9	4.5	4.4
Moderate amount of experience	35	4.6	4.5	4.7	4.2	4.6	4.6
Limited amount of experience	25	4.3	3.9	4.4	3.9	4.3	4.1
Professional reputation	4	3.5	4.3	4.3	3.5	4.0	3.7
Other personal contacts	4	4.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.5	4.3
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	11	4.7	4.5	4.7	4.2	4.5	4.5
Private, 2-5 attorneys	14	4.4	4.4	4.7	3.9	4.3	4.5
Private, 6+ attorneys	6	4.2	4.2	4.3	3.8	4.0	3.8
Private, corporate employee	1	5.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Judge or judicial officer	25	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.8	4.8
Government	34	4.4	4.0	4.5	3.6	4.5	4.3
Public service agency or organization	6	4.3	3.5	4.0	3.3	4.3	3.4
Retired	5	4.3	4.2	5.0	4.4	4.4	4.4
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	10	4.6	3.9	4.5	3.2	4.5	4.1
6 to 10 years	19	4.4	4.2	4.4	3.9	4.4	4.3
11 to 15 years	14	4.5	4.3	4.6	3.9	4.5	4.3
16 to 20 years	9	4.4	4.4	4.7	4.1	4.6	4.2
More than 20 years	43	4.6	4.3	4.7	4.1	4.4	4.5
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	10	4.6	4.4	4.7	3.9	4.5	4.6
Criminal	11	4.4	4.0	4.4	3.5	4.5	4.2
Mixed criminal & civil	36	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.3	4.7	4.8
Civil	43	4.3	3.9	4.5	3.8	4.2	4.1
Other	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	3	4.3	4.3	4.3	5.0	4.7	4.3
Second District	2	4.5	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Third District	41	4.3	4.0	4.5	3.9	4.2	4.1
Fourth District	55	4.7	4.5	4.7	4.0	4.6	4.6
Outside Alaska	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	57	4.6	4.4	4.7	4.0	4.4	4.4
Female	43	4.4	4.1	4.5	3.9	4.5	4.3

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 41
Michael P. McConahy
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	118	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	104	88.1
Professional reputation	10	8.5
Other personal contacts	4	3.4
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	95	91.3
Substantial amount of experience	46	44.2
Moderate amount of experience	33	31.7
Limited amount of experience	24	23.1
Type of Practice		
No response	3	2.5
Private, solo	15	12.7
Private, 2-5 attorneys	21	17.8
Private, 6+ attorneys	8	6.8
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	30	25.4
Government	32	27.1
Public service agency or organization	5	4.2
Retired	4	3.4
Other	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	9	7.6
5 years or fewer	10	8.5
6 to 10 years	16	13.6
11 to 15 years	14	11.9
16 to 20 years	9	7.6
More than 20 years	60	50.8
Cases Handled		
No response	3	2.5
Prosecution	11	9.3
Criminal	11	9.3
Mixed criminal & civil	44	37.3
Civil	45	38.1
Other	4	3.4
Location of Practice		
No response	2	1.7
First District	3	2.5
Second District	2	1.7
Third District	55	46.6
Fourth District	53	44.9
Outside Alaska	3	2.5
Gender		
No response	4	3.4
Male	68	57.6
Female	46	39.0

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 42
Michael P. McConahy
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	118	4.0	3.9	4.3	4.0	4.1	4.0
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	104	4.1	3.9	4.3	4.0	4.1	4.1
Experience within last 5 years	95	4.1	3.9	4.3	4.0	4.1	4.0
Experience not within last 5 years	4	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Substantial amount of experience	46	3.9	3.6	4.1	3.8	4.1	3.9
Moderate amount of experience	33	4.4	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.3
Limited amount of experience	24	3.9	4.0	4.2	4.1	3.8	4.0
Professional reputation	10	3.6	3.4	4.0	3.4	3.3	3.6
Other personal contacts	4	4.5	4.3	5.0	4.5	4.8	4.3
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	11	3.9	3.9	4.2	4.0	4.0	3.9
Private, 2-5 attorneys	20	4.0	3.9	4.3	4.1	4.2	3.9
Private, 6+ attorneys	8	4.4	3.9	4.6	4.3	4.4	4.3
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	25	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.4	4.5	4.6
Government	29	3.5	3.3	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.5
Public service agency or organization	5	4.3	3.3	3.8	3.5	4.3	3.8
Retired	3	4.3	4.0	5.0	4.7	4.3	4.7
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	10	4.2	3.2	3.5	3.2	3.8	3.7
6 to 10 years	16	4.0	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.2
11 to 15 years	11	3.8	3.1	3.6	3.6	3.7	3.5
16 to 20 years	7	4.2	3.8	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.0
More than 20 years	51	4.1	4.1	4.5	4.1	4.2	4.2
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	11	3.7	3.4	3.8	3.9	4.0	3.7
Criminal	10	3.4	3.6	4.4	3.5	3.6	4.1
Mixed criminal & civil	39	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.2
Civil	37	3.9	3.7	4.2	3.9	3.9	3.9
Other	4	4.5	3.8	4.3	4.0	4.7	4.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	3	4.3	4.7	5.0	5.0	4.7	4.3
Second District	2	4.0	3.5	4.0	3.5	4.0	4.0
Third District	44	4.1	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.1	4.2
Fourth District	51	4.1	3.7	4.1	4.0	4.1	3.9
Outside Alaska	2	3.5	3.0	3.5	3.0	3.5	3.5
Gender*							
Male	62	4.1	4.0	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.2
Female	38	3.9	3.6	4.0	3.7	3.9	3.7

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 43
Benjamin A. Seekins
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	87	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	81	93.1
Professional reputation	3	3.4
Other personal contacts	3	3.4
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	78	96.3
Substantial amount of experience	32	39.5
Moderate amount of experience	21	25.9
Limited amount of experience	27	33.3
Type of Practice		
No response	2	2.3
Private, solo	12	13.8
Private, 2-5 attorneys	16	18.4
Private, 6+ attorneys	3	3.4
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	22	25.3
Government	26	29.9
Public service agency or organization	2	2.3
Retired	4	4.6
Other	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	8	9.2
5 years or fewer	9	10.3
6 to 10 years	13	14.9
11 to 15 years	11	12.6
16 to 20 years	8	9.2
More than 20 years	38	43.7
Cases Handled		
No response	3	3.4
Prosecution	9	10.3
Criminal	10	11.5
Mixed criminal & civil	35	40.2
Civil	29	33.3
Other	1	1.1
Location of Practice		
No response	2	2.3
First District	-	-
Second District	2	2.3
Third District	32	36.8
Fourth District	49	56.3
Outside Alaska	2	2.3
Gender		
No response	4	4.6
Male	49	56.3
Female	34	39.1

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 44
Benjamin A. Seekins
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	87	4.0	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.1
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	81	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.1
Experience within last 5 years	78	4.0	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.1
Experience not within last 5 years	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.0	4.5	4.5
Substantial amount of experience	32	4.0	4.1	4.3	4.3	3.8	4.0
Moderate amount of experience	21	4.0	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.2	4.3
Limited amount of experience	27	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.1
Professional reputation	3	3.3	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.3	4.3
Other personal contacts	3	4.3	5.0	5.0	4.3	4.7	4.7
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	11	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.4
Private, 2-5 attorneys	16	4.3	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.3	4.6
Private, 6+ attorneys	3	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	22	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.0	4.0
Government	22	3.5	3.3	3.8	4.0	3.7	3.5
Public service agency or organization	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Retired	3	4.0	4.3	4.7	4.7	4.0	4.3
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	9	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4
6 to 10 years	13	3.9	4.2	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.3
11 to 15 years	9	3.9	3.3	3.7	4.3	3.8	3.6
16 to 20 years	7	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.3
More than 20 years	35	4.0	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.1
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	8	2.9	2.9	3.3	3.8	3.4	3.1
Criminal	10	4.0	3.7	4.2	4.1	4.1	3.8
Mixed criminal & civil	32	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.2	4.3
Civil	27	4.1	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.3
Other	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	2	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
Third District	28	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.4
Fourth District	47	3.9	4.0	4.2	4.3	3.9	4.0
Outside Alaska	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	47	4.1	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.1	4.2
Female	30	4.1	4.0	4.2	4.2	4.0	4.0

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.